



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/770,238	09/770,238 01/29/2001		Mitsuo Saeki	1080. 1090/JDH	6797
21171	7590	05/24/2002			
STAAS & F			EXAMINER		
700 11TH STREET, NW SUITE 500				STERRETT, JEFFREY L	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2838	
			DATE MAILED: 05/24/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTY, DOCKET NO.

> EXAMINER PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 12

DATE MAILED:

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
This action is FINAL.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, pr accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 2	13.				
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respon the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may 1.136(a).	month(s), or thirty days, d within the period for response will cause be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR				
Disposition of Claims					
Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
Claim(s) 1 - 40	is/are allowed.				
Claim(s)					
Application Papers	<del></del>				
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  The drawing(s) filed onis/are  The proposed drawing correction, filed on  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	objected to by the Examineris				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority document of the Certification No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	ments have been				
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (P					
*Certified copies not received:	•				
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(e).				
Attachment(s)					
Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892					
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)					
Interview Summary, PTO-413					
Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948					
Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOIL O	NING BAGES				

Art Unit: 2838

Figures 1 and 2 should be designated by the legend --Prior Art-- because only what was old and known in the art at the time of the invention is illustrated (See MPEP § 608.02(g)).

Although Figures 1 and 2 may be felt to illustrate the inventive concept, they are so generic that they are more properly labeled "Prior Art".

~ 2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims.

Therefore, a display unit displaying that the synchronous switches are simultaneously turned on as recited by claims 2, 23, and 30 must be shown or the feature canceled from the claim. No new matter should be entered.

Rule 37 CFR 1.83(a) can be paraphrased as "if it is important enough to recite a specific limitation in the claims then the limitation should be specifically shown in the figures and if the limitation is not important enough to be specifically shown in the figures then the limitation is correspondingly not important enough to be specifically recited in the claims".

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Art Unit: 2838

Claims 1, 3-22, 24-29, and 31-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nguyen (US 6,069,471) in combination with Kuriyama et al (US 5,933,341).

Nguyen teaches a regulator circuit as recited by claims 1, 3-22, 24-29, and 31-40 except for utilizing a simultaneous switch conduction detection circuit. Kuriyama et al teaches as old and known in the power conversion art at the time of the invention that simultaneous switch conduction detection circuits were known expedients in power conversion circuitry where simultaneous switch conduction was a problem. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the regulator circuit of Nguyen by utilizing a simultaneous switch conduction detection circuit, such as taught by Kuriyama et al, in order to not only attempt to prevent simultaneous switch conduction but to also detect simultaneous switch conduction in a manner that was old and known in the art at the time of the invention.

S. Claims 2, 23, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nguyen in combination with Kuriyama et al as applied to claims 1, 22, and 29 above.

Nguyen and Kuriyama et al collectively teach a regulator circuit as recited by claims 2, 23, and 30 except for utilizing a display unit displaying that the main synchronous rectifying switches are simultaneously turned on. Official notice is taken that it was an old and known expedient in the art at the time of the invention to display operational conditions, such as when switches are simultaneously turned on, of power converters that would be of concern to users of the power

Art Unit: 2838

converters. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the regulator circuit collectively taught by Nguyen and Kuriyama et al to include a display unit displaying that the main synchronous rectifying switches are simultaneously turned on since such was an old and known expedient in the art at the time of the invention.

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-40 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 7 disclosure.

Grosch (US 4,578,630), Schwartz (US 5,552,695), Schwartz et al (US 5,808,455), Carsten (US 5,929,692), and Grimm (US 5,955,872) are cited to show regulator circuits old and known in the art at the time of the invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Sterrett whose telephone number is (703) 308-1632. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

Art Unit: 2838

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Wong, can be reached on (703) 305-3477. The fax phone number for this Art Unit is (703) 305-7723 and the fax phone numbers for this Group are (703) 305-3431 or (703) 305-3432.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

jls

May 21, 2002

Jeffrey L. Sterrett

**Primary Examiner** 

effer 1- Strutt

Art Unit 2838